LCTS (2019-2020) and Empty Homes Survey Appendix 2 - Survey trends 2018/19 versus 2019/20 schemes

A comparison is made between the results of the consultation run in 2017 for the 2018/19 scheme and that run in 2018 for the 2019/20 scheme. A direct correlation of any responses is only reported here where the same question was asked in both surveys.

Overall submissions	Result (percentage)	Result (percentage)	Trend
	2018/19 scheme	2019/20 scheme and trend	
Total number of paper submissions:	(98.19%)	(99.01%)	
Total number of web submissions:	(1.81%)	(0.99%)	
Total number of submissions:	(100%)	(100%)	

Headline question		Result counts (percentage)	
Q3a The Government has said pensioners on low income must be given full protection from the implications of this scheme.	Yes (94.66%)	Yes (94.50%)	
Uttlesford's current scheme also protects disabled people on a low income and carers on a low income. Do you agree with this?	No (5.34%)	No (5.50%)	
Q3b For each 2.5% of increase the LCTS recipient(s) will need to pay, on average, an additional £38 of Council Tax each year. The cost to the council of keeping the rate at 12.5% would	Yes (71.6%)	Yes (68.09%)	
be approximately £204,000. For each 2.5% increase recipients have to pay, the cost of the scheme for the council would reduce by approximately £3,958. Should the council keep the rate at 12.5%?	No (28.1%)	No (31.91%)	

Should the council increase the premium on properties that have been empty and unfurnished for 2 years or more to 100% of the council tax? (This question was not included in the Local Council Tax Support Scheme Survey for 2018-2019)				
premium on properties that have included in the Local Council been empty and unfurnished for 2 years or more to 100% of the included in the Local Council Tax Support Scheme Survey for 2018-2019)	Q3c			
2 years or more to 100% of the for 2018-2019)		` '	Yes (83.59%	
	2 years or more to 100% of the	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	No (16.41%)	